



Jeffrey B. Stoltz

Counsel

515 South Flower Street,
Forty-Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2223

D 213.430.3024

F 213.430.3409

jeffrey.stoltz@tuckerellis.com

Jeffrey Stoltz defends businesses in complex products liability and toxic tort cases and repeat litigation.

Jeffrey's diverse portfolio of clients and products involves the defense and management of cases filed in California, as well as other state and federal courts. Jeffrey is known for his ability to manage high-volume repeat litigation, lead teams, and develop successful strategies that are then cost effectively and successfully applied to similar litigations. In his over 20 years of practice, Jeffrey has developed an expertise defending national and international corporate clients in the consumer products industries, as well as in the defense of manufacturers, retailers, suppliers, and premises owners in toxic tort and environmental litigation.

Additionally, Jeffrey represents clients in litigation involving general liability, premises liability, construction defect, and construction sites/industrial accidents. He also defends hospitals, doctors, and nursing homes and other long-term care facilities in both medical malpractice and elder abuse actions.

Education

- Southwestern Law School (J.D., 1997)
- University of California, San Diego (B.A., 1993)

State Admissions

- California, 1997

Federal Admissions

- United States District Court, Central District of California
- United States District Court, Eastern District of California

Service Areas

- Mass Tort & Product Liability

Industries Represented

- Consumer Products
- Construction
- Healthcare

Experience

- Won summary judgment on all claims for a beauty and cosmetics company in a case involving a tragic motor vehicle accident between a third-party trucking company transporting our client's products and the Plaintiff, who was gravely injured in the accident; Plaintiff sought policy limits (\$1 million) in damages, claiming our client negligently hired the trucking company; in granting summary judgment in favor of our client, the court found that the trucking company was an independent contractor and our client was not liable to Plaintiff on any of the claims